

TOPOLOGY OF 3-MANIFOLDS AND A CLASS OF GROUPS

SAYED KHALED ROUSHON

November 24, 2002

ABSTRACT. This paper grew out of an attempt to find a suitable finite sheeted covering of an aspherical 3-manifold so that the cover either has infinite or trivial first homology group. With this motivation we define a new class of groups. These groups are in some sense eventually perfect. We prove results giving several classes of examples of groups which do (not) belong to this class. Also we prove some elementary results on these groups and state two conjectures. A direct application of one of the conjectures to the virtual Betti number conjecture of Thurston is mentioned.

0. INTRODUCTION

The main motivation to this paper came from 3-manifold topology while trying to find a suitable finite sheeted covering of an aspherical 3-manifold so that the cover has either infinite or trivial first integral homology group. In [R] it was proved that $M^3 \times \mathbb{D}^n$ is topologically rigid for $n > 1$ whenever $H_1(M^3, \mathbb{Z})$ is infinite. Also the same result is true when $H_1(M^3, \mathbb{Z})$ is 0. The remaining case is when $H_1(M^3, \mathbb{Z})$ is nontrivial finite. There are induction techniques in surgery theory which can be used to prove topological rigidity of a manifold if certain of finite sheeted coverings of the manifold are also topologically rigid. In the case of manifolds with nontrivial finite first integral homology groups there is a natural finite sheeted cover namely the one which corresponds to the commutator subgroup of the fundamental group.

So we start with a closed aspherical 3-manifold M with nontrivial finite first integral homology group and consider the finite sheeted covering M_1 of M corresponding to the commutator subgroup. If $H^1(M_1, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ or $H_1(M_1, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then we are done otherwise we again take the finite sheeted cover of M_1 corresponding

Key words and phrases. 3-manifolds, Lie groups, commutator subgroup, perfect groups, virtual Betti number conjecture.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 20F19, 57M99. Secondary: 20E99

to the commutator subgroup and continue. The group theoretic conjecture (Conjecture 0.2) in this article implies that this process stops in the sense that for some i either $H^1(M_i, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ or $H_1(M_i, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Motivated by the above situation we define the following class of groups.

Definition. An abstract group G is called *adorable* if $G^i/G^{i+1} = 1$ for some i , where $G^i = [G^{i-1}, G^{i-1}]$, the commutator subgroup of G^{i-1} , and $G^0 = G$. The smallest i for which the above property is satisfied is called the *degree of adorability* of G . We denote it by $doa(G)$.

Obvious examples of adorable groups are finite groups, perfect groups, simple groups and solvable groups. The first two classes are adorable groups of degree 0. The free products of perfect groups are adorable. The abelian groups and symmetric groups on $n \geq 5$ letters are adorable of degree 1. Another class of adorable groups are $GL(R) = \text{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} GL_n(R)$. Here R is any ring with unity and $GL_n(R)$ is the multiplicative group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices. These are adorable groups of degree 1. This follows from the Whitehead lemma which says that the commutator subgroup of $GL_n(R)$ is generated by the elementary matrices and the group generated by the elementary matrices is a perfect group. Also $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, the multiplicative group of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries is a perfect group. In fact we will prove that any connected Lie group is adorable as an abstract group. The full braid groups on more than 4 strings are adorable of degree 1.

We observe the following elementary facts in the next section:

Theorem 1.10. *A group G is adorable if and only if there is a filtration $G_n < G_{n-1} < \dots < G_1 < G_0 = G$ of G so that G_i is normal in G_{i-1} , G_{i-1}/G_i is abelian for each i and G_n is a perfect group.*

Theorem 1.14. *Let H be a normal subgroup of an adorable group G . Then H is adorable if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) G/H is solvable
- (2) for some i , G^i/H^i is abelian
- (3) for some i , G^i is simple
- (4) for some i , G^i is perfect and the group G^i/H^{i+1} does not have any proper abelian normal subgroup

Also the braid group on more than 4 strings are the examples to show that an arbitrary finite index normal subgroup of an adorable group need not be adorable.

Theorem 1.21. *Every connected real or complex Lie group is adorable as an abstract group.*

Below we give some examples of non-adorable groups. Proofs of non-adorability of some of these examples are easy. Proofs for the other examples are given in the next sections.

Some examples of groups which are not adorable are non-abelian free groups and fundamental groups of surfaces of genus greater than 1; for the intersection of a monotonically decreasing sequence of characteristic subgroups of a non-abelian free group consists of the trivial element only. The commutator subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the nonabelian free group on 2 generators. Hence $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is not adorable. Also by Stallings' theorem fundamental groups of compact 3-manifolds which have finitely generated commutator subgroup with infinite cyclic abelianization are also not adorable. It is known that most of these 3-manifolds support hyperbolic metric by Thurston. It is easy to show that the pure braid group is not adorable as there is a surjection of any pure braid group of more than 2 strings onto a nonabelian free group.

The following results give some important classes of examples of non-adorable groups.

Corollary 2.3. *A torsion free Bieberbach groups is non-adorable unless it is solvable.*

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a group satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) $H_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$ has rank ≥ 3
- (2) $H_2(G^j, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $j \geq 0$

Then G is not adorable. Moreover, G^j/G^{j+1} has rank ≥ 3 for each $j \geq 1$.

The proposition below is a consequence of the above Theorem.

Proposition 2.7. *A knot group is adorable if and only if it has trivial Alexander polynomial.*

In fact in this case the commutator subgroup of the knot group is perfect. All other knot groups are not adorable. On the other hand any knot complement supports a complete nonpositively curved Riemannian metric ([L]).

After seeing an earlier version of this paper ([R2]) Tim Cochran informed me that the Proposition 2.7 was also observed by him in [Corollary 4.8, [C]].

Note that all the torsion free examples of non-adorable groups we mentioned above act freely and properly discontinuously (except the braid groups case, which is still an open question) on a simply connected complete nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold. Also we recall that a solvable subgroup of the fundamental

group of a nonpositively curved manifold is virtually abelian ([Y]). There are generalization of these results to the case of locally $CAT(0)$ spaces ([BH]). Considering these facts we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 0.1. *Fundamental group of generic class of complete nonpositively curved Riemannian manifolds or more generally of generic class of locally $CAT(0)$ metric spaces are not adorable.*

One can even ask the same question for hyperbolic groups.

Now we state the conjecture we referred before.

Conjecture 0.2. *Let G be a finitely presented torsion free group such that G^i/G^{i+1} is a finite group for all i . Then G is adorable.*

Note that G^i/G^{i+1} is finite for each i if and only if G/G^i is finite for each i . Thus the above conjecture says that a non-adorable finitely presented torsion free group has an infinite solvable quotient. Compare this observation with Proposition 2.1.

There is another consequence of this conjecture. That is, proving this conjecture for the particular case when the group G is the fundamental group of an aspherical 3-manifold will imply that the virtual Betti number conjecture of Thurston is true if a modified (half) version of it is true. We mention it below:

Modified virtual Betti number conjecture. *Let M be a closed aspherical 3-manifold such that $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Then there is a finite sheeted covering $\tilde{M}$ of M with $H_1(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{Z})$ infinite.*

It is easy to see that the Conjecture 0.2 and the Modified virtual Betti number conjecture together implies the virtual Betti number conjecture.

Virtual Betti number conjecture. *Any closed aspherical 3-manifold has a finite sheeted covering with infinite first homology group.*

Acknowledgment. Part of this work was presented in the conference on Algebraic and Geometric Topology, January 01-04, 2002, Delhi University, India and in the Satellite conference on Geometric Topology of the ICM2002, August 12-16, 2002, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, China. The author would like to thank the organizing committees for the invitation to participate and lecture in the conferences.

1. ELEMENTARY FACTS ABOUT ADORABLE GROUPS

In this section we prove some basic results on adorable groups.

Recall that a group is called *perfect* if the commutator subgroup of the group is the whole group.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a surjective homomorphism with G adorable. Then H is also adorable and $\text{doa}(H) \leq \text{doa}(G)$.*

Proof. f induces surjective homomorphism $G^i \rightarrow H^i$ for each i . The proof follows from the definitions of adorable groups and its' doa . $\square$

Example 1.2. The Artin pure braid group on more than 2 strings is not adorable, for it has a quotient a non-abelian free group. In fact the full braid group on n -strings is not adorable for $n \leq 4$ and adorable of degree 1 otherwise. (see [GL]).

Proposition 1.3. *The product $G \times H$ of two groups are adorable if and only if both the groups G and H are adorable. Also if $G \times H$ is adorable then $\text{doa}(G \times H) = \max \{\text{doa}(G), \text{doa}(H)\}$.*

Proof. Note that $(G \times H)^i = G^i \times H^i$. If G and H are both adorable then it clearly follows that so is their product and also it follows that $\text{doa}(G \times H) = \max \{\text{doa}(G), \text{doa}(H)\}$. The 'only if' part follows from Proposition 1.1. $\square$

Remark 1.4. Note here that free product of two adorable groups need not be adorable; for example the non-abelian free group on two generators is not adorable, but the infinite cyclic group is. But it is plausible that the free product of two nonsolvable adorable group is adorable.

Proposition 1.5. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G with quotient F such that both H and F are perfect, then G is also perfect.*

Proof. We have

$$G/H = F = F^1 = (G/H)^1 = G^1/G^1 \cap H = G^1/G^1 \cap H^1 = G^1/H^1 = G^1/H$$

This proves the Proposition. $\square$

Lemma 1.6. *Let G be an adorable group and H is a normal subgroup of G . Assume that for some i_0 , G^{i_0} is simple. Then H is also adorable and $\text{doa}(H) \leq \text{doa}(G)$.*

Proof. Note that H^{i_0} is a normal subgroup of G^{i_0} and hence either $H^{i_0} = 1$ or $H^{i_0} = G^{i_0}$. In any case H is adorable and $\text{doa}(H) \leq \text{doa}(G)$. $\square$

Remark 1.7. In the above lemma instead of assuming the strong hypothesis that G^{i_0} is simple we can assume only that G^{i_0} is perfect and G^{i_0}/H^{i_0+1} does not have any proper normal abelian subgroup. With this weaker hypothesis the proof follows from the fact that the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $G^{i_0}/H^{i_0+1} \rightarrow G^{i_0}/H^{i_0}$ is either trivial or $G^{i_0} = H^{i_0}$. In either case it follows that H is adorable.

Lemma 1.8. *Let H be a normal subgroup of an adorable group G such that G^i/H^i is abelian for some i . Then H is also adorable.*

Proof. There is an $i_0 > i$ so that $G^{i_0+1} = G^{i_0}$. Also as G^i/H^i is abelian we get $G^{i+1} \subset H^i$. Now we have

$$H^{i_0} \subset G^{i_0} = G^{i_0+2} = G^{i+1+(i_0-i+1)} \subset H^{i_0+1}$$

Also $H^{i_0+1} \subset H^{i_0}$. Hence $H^{i_0+1} = H^{i_0}$. Therefore H is adorable. $\square$

Lemma 1.9. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that G^i/H^i is abelian for some i . Then G is adorable if and only if H is adorable.*

Proof. One way of the proof follows from the previous Lemma. So assume that H is adorable. Choose $i_0 > i$ so that $H^{i_0+1} = H^{i_0}$. From the hypothesis $G^{i+1} \subset H^i$. Now

$$G^{i_0+1} = G^{i+1+i_0-i} \subset H^{i_0} = H^{i_0+2} \subset G^{i_0+2}$$

Also we have $G^{i_0+2} \subset G^{i_0+1}$. Thus $G^{i_0+2} = G^{i_0+1}$ and hence G is adorable. $\square$

Theorem 1.10. *A group G is adorable if and only if there is a filtration $G_n < G_{n-1} < \dots < G_1 < G_0 = G$ of G so that G_i is normal in G_{i-1} , G_{i-1}/G_i is abelian for each i and G_n is a perfect group.*

Proof. We use Proposition 1.11 below and induction on n to prove the ‘if’ part of the Theorem. So assume that there is a filtration of G as in the hypothesis. Then there is an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow G_{n-1}/G_n \rightarrow 1$$

such that G_{n-1}/G_n is abelian and G_n is perfect and hence adorable. By Proposition 1.11 G_{n-1} is adorable. By induction $G_0 = G$ is adorable. The ‘only if’ part of the Theorem follows from the definition of adorable groups. $\square$

Proposition 1.11. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that G/H is solvable. Then H is adorable if and only if so is G .*

Proof. Before we start with the proof, we note down some generality: Suppose G has a filtration as in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.10. Since G_{i-1}/G_i is abelian for each i , we have $G'_{i-1} \subset G_i$. Replacing i by $i+1$ we get $G'_i \subset G_{i+1}$. Consequently, $G_0^i = G^i = \{G'\}^{i-1} \subset G_1^{i-1} \subset \{G_1'\}^{i-2} \subset G_2^{i-2} \subset \dots \subset G'_{i-1} \subset G_i$. Thus we get $G^n \subset G_n$.

Denote G/H by F . As F is solvable we have $1 \subset F^k \subset \dots \subset F^1 \subset F^0 = F$ where F^k is abelian. Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ be the quotient map. We have the following sequence of normal subgroups of G :

$$\dots \subset H^n \subset H^{n-1} \dots \subset H^1 \subset H \subset \pi^{-1}(F^k) \dots \subset \pi^{-1}(F^0) = G$$

Note that this sequence of normal subgroup satisfies the same properties as those of the filtration G_i of G above. Hence $G^{k+i} \subset H^{i-1}$. Now if G is adorable then for some i , G^{k+i} is perfect. We have

$$H^{k+i} \subset G^{k+i} = G^{k+k+i+2} \subset H^{k+i+1}$$

But we already have $H^{k+i+1} \subset H^{k+i}$. That is H^{k+i} is perfect, hence H is adorable. Conversely if H is adorable then for some i , H^i is perfect. By Theorem 1.10 it follows that G is also adorable. $\square$

Corollary 1.12. *Let G be a torsion free infinite group and F be a finite quotient of G with kernel H such that H is free abelian and also central in G . Then G is adorable.*

Proof. Recall that equivalence classes of extensions of F by H are in one to one correspondence with $H^2(F, H)$ which is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(F, (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^n)$ where n is the rank of H (see exercise 3, page 95, in [Br]). If F is perfect then $\text{Hom}(F, (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^n) = 0$ and hence the extensions $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$ splits. But by hypothesis G is torsion free. Hence F is not perfect. By a similar argument it can be shown that F^i is perfect for no i unless it is the trivial group. Since F is finite this proves that F is solvable and hence G is adorable, in fact solvable. $\square$

We sum up the above Lemmas and Propositions in the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.13. *Let H be a normal subgroup of an adorable group G . Then H is adorable if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) G/H is solvable
- (2) for some i , G^i/H^i is abelian
- (3) for some i , G^i is simple
- (4) for some i , G^i is perfect and the group G^i/H^{i+1} does not have any proper abelian normal subgroup

Remark 1.14. It is known that any countable group is a subgroup of a countable simple group (see theorem 3.4, chapter IV in [LS]). Also we mentioned before that

even finite index normal subgroup of an adorable group need not be adorable. So the above theorem is best possible in this regard.

In the next section we give some more examples of virtually adorable groups which are not adorable.

The following is an analogue of a theorem of Hirsch for poly-cyclic groups.

Theorem 1.15. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *G is a group which admits a filtration $G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_n$ with the property that each G_{i+1} is normal in G_i with quotient G_i/G_{i+1} cyclic and G_n is a perfect group which satisfies the maximal condition for subgroups.*
- (2) *G is adorable and it satisfies the maximal condition for subgroups, i.e., for any sequence $H_1 < H_2 < \cdots$ of subgroups of G there is an i such that $H_i = H_{i+1} = \cdots$.*

Proof. The proof is on the same line as Hirsch's theorem. The main lemma is the following:

Lemma A. *Let H_1 and H_2 be two subgroup of a group G and $H_1 \subset H_2$. Let H be a normal subgroup of G with the property that $H \cap H_1 = H \cap H_2$ and the subgroup generated by H and H_1 is equal to the subgroup generated by H and H_2 . Then $H_1 = H_2$.*

(1) implies (2): By Theorem 1.10 it follows that (1) implies that G is adorable. Now we check the maximal condition by induction on n . As G_n already satisfy maximal condition we only need to check that G_{n-1} also satisfy maximal condition which follows from the following Lemma and by noting that G_{n-1}/G_n is cyclic:

Lemma B. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that both H and G/H satisfy the maximal condition then G also satisfies the maximal condition.*

Proof. Let $K_1 < K_2 < \cdots$ be an increasing sequence of subgroups of G . Consider the two sequences of subgroups $H \cap K_1 < H \cap K_2 < \cdots$ and $\{H, K_1\} < \{H, K_2\} < \cdots$. Here $\{A, B\}$ denotes the subgroup generated by the subgroups A and B . As H and G/H both satisfy the maximal condition there are integers k and l so that $H \cap K_k = H \cap K_{k+1} = \cdots$ and $\{H, K_l\} = \{H, K_{l+1}\} = \cdots$. Assume $k \geq l$. Then by Lemma A $K_k = K_{k+1} = \cdots$. $\square$

(2) implies (1): As G is adorable it has a filtration $G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_n$ with G_n perfect and each quotient abelian. Also G_n satisfies maximal condition as it is a subgroup of G and G satisfies maximal condition. Since G satisfies maximal

condition each quotient G_i/G_{i+1} is finitely generated. Now a filtration as in (1) can easily be constructed.

This proves the theorem. $\square$

2. SOME EXAMPLES OF (NON-)ADORABLE GROUPS

This section gives a large and important class of examples of non-adorable groups.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M^3 be a compact 3-manifold with the property that there is an exact sequence of groups $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$ such that H is finitely generated nonabelian but not the fundamental group of the Klein bottle and F is an infinite solvable group. Then $\pi_1(M)$ is not adorable.*

Proof. By Theorem 11.1 in [He] it follows that H is the fundamental group of a compact surface. Also as H is not the Klein bottle group, it is not adorable. The Corollary now follows from Proposition 1.11. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a torsion free group and H a free (abelian or non-abelian) normal subgroup of G with quotient F a non-trivial finite perfect group. Then G is not adorable.*

Proof. If H is non-abelian then by Stallings' Theorem G itself is free and hence not adorable. So assume H is free abelian. Since F is a perfect group, the restriction of the quotient map $G \rightarrow F$ to G^i is again surjective for each i with $H \cap G^i$ as kernel. And since G is infinite and torsion free, $H \cap G^i$ is non-trivial free abelian for all i . This shows that each G^i is again a Bieberbach group. It is known that if $H^1(G^i, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then G^i is centerless and centerless Bieberbach groups are meta-abelian and hence solvable ([HS]). But since each G^i surjects onto a non-trivial perfect group it cannot be solvable. Hence $H^1(G^i, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ for each i . This proves the Proposition. $\square$

The conclusion of the above Proposition remains valid if we assume that F is non-solvable adorable.

By Bieberbach theorem ([Ch]) we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *The fundamental group of a closed flat Riemannian manifold is non-adorable unless it is solvable.*

The following Theorem gives some more examples of non-adorable groups.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a group satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) $H_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$ has rank ≥ 3

(2) $H_2(G^j, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $j \geq 0$

Then G is not adorable. Moreover, G^j/G^{j+1} has rank ≥ 3 for each $j \geq 1$.

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence.

$$1 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/G^1 \rightarrow 1$$

We use the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence ([Br], page 171) of the above exact sequence. The E^2 -term of the spectral sequence is $E_{pq}^2 = H_p(G/G^1, H_q(G^1, \mathbb{Z}))$. Here $\mathbb{Z}$ is considered as a trivial G -module. This spectral sequence gives rise to the following five term exact sequence.

$$H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow E_{20}^2 \rightarrow E_{01}^2 \rightarrow H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow E_{10}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

Using (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_2(G/G^1, H_0(G^1, \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow H_0(G/G^1, H_1(G^1, \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H_1(G/G^1, H_0(G^1, \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

As $\mathbb{Z}$ is a trivial G -module we get

$$0 \rightarrow H_2(G/G^1, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(G/G^1, H_1(G^1, \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(G/G^1, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

Note that the homomorphism between the last two non-zero terms in the above exact sequence is an isomorphism. Also the second non-zero term from left is isomorphic to the co-invariant $H_1(G^1, \mathbb{Z})_{G/G^1}$ and hence we have the following

$$H_2(G/G^1, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_1(G^1, \mathbb{Z})_{G/G^1}$$

Since G/G^1 has rank ≥ 3 we get that $H_2(G/G^1, \mathbb{Z})$ has rank greater or equal to ${}^3C_2 = 3$. This follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let A be an abelian group. Then the rank of $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is ${}^{rkA}C_2$ if rkA is finite otherwise it is infinity.*

Proof. If A is finitely generated then from the formula $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \bigwedge^2 A$ it follows that rank of $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is ${}^{rkA}C_2$. In the case A is countable and infinitely generated then there are finitely generated subgroups A_n of A such that A is the direct limit of A_n . Now as homology of group commutes with direct limit the proof follows using the previous case. Similar argument applies when A is uncountable. $\square$

To complete the proof of the theorem note that there is a surjective homomorphism $H_1(G^1, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(G^1, \mathbb{Z})_{G/G^1}$. Thus we have proved that $H_1(G^1, \mathbb{Z})$ also has rank ≥ 3 . Finally replacing G by G^n and G^1 by G^{n+1} and using induction on n the proof is completed. $\square$

There are two important consequences of Theorem 2.4. At first we recall some definition from [St].

Let R be a non-trivial commutative ring with unity. The class $E(R)$ consists of groups G for which the trivial G -module R has a RG -projective resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

such that the map $1_R \otimes \partial_2 : R \otimes_{RG} P_2 \rightarrow R \otimes_{RG} P_1$ is injective. Note that if a group belongs to $E(R)$ then $H_2(G, R) = 0$. Also this condition is sufficient to belong to $E(R)$ for groups of cohomological dimension less or equal to 2. By definition G lies in E if it belongs to $E(R)$ for all R . A characterization of E -groups is that a group G is an E -group if and only if G belongs to $E(\mathbb{Z})$ and G/G^1 is torsion free (lemma 2.3 in [St]).

Corollary 2.6. *Let G be an E -group and rank of $H_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is ≥ 2 . Then G is not adorable.*

Proof. By theorem A in [St] it follows that G satisfies condition (2) of Theorem 2.4. From the proof of Theorem 2.4 we get that $H_1(G^2, \mathbb{Z})$ has rank ≥ 1 and hence in particular G^2 is not perfect. On the other hand an E -groups has derived length 0, 1, 2 or infinity (remark after theorem A in [St]). Thus G is not adorable. $\square$

In the following Proposition we give an application of the above Theorem for knot groups.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $H = \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^3 - k)$, where k is a nontrivial knot in the 3-sphere with non-trivial Alexander polynomial. Then H is not adorable. Moreover if rank of H^1/H^2 is greater or equal to 3 then the same is true for H^j/H^{j+1} for all $j \geq 2$.*

In fact a stronger version of the Proposition follows, namely by [St] the successive quotients of the derived series of G are torsion free. Thus we get that the successive quotients of the derived series are nontrivial and torsion free.

Proof of Proposition 2.7. At first recall that condition (2) of Theorem 2.4 follows from theorem A in [St]. On the other hand the commutator subgroup of a knot group is perfect if and only if the knot has trivial Alexander polynomial. So assume that H^1 is not perfect. If H^1 is finitely generated then in fact it is non-abelian free

and hence H is not adorable. If rank of H^1/H^2 is ≥ 3 then the proof follows from the above Theorem. So assume that rank of H^1/H^2 is ≤ 2 .

Recall that the rank of the abelian group H^1/H^2 is equal to the degree of the Alexander polynomial of the knot (see theorem 1.1 in [Cr]). Thus if rank of H^1/H^2 is 1 then the Alexander polynomial has degree 1 which is impossible as the Alexander polynomial of a knot always has even degree. Next if rank of H^1/H^2 is 2 then H is not adorable by Corollary 2.6 and noting that knot groups are E -groups. $\square$

Definition 2.7. A Lie group is called *adorable* if it is adorable as an abstract group.

Theorem 2.8. *Every connected (real or complex) Lie group is adorable.*

Proof. Let G be a Lie group and consider its derived series:

$$\dots \subset G^n \subset G^{n-1} \dots \subset G^1 \subset G^0 = G$$

Note that each G^i is a normal subgroup of G . Define $G_i = \overline{G^i}$. Then we have a sequence of normal subgroups:

$$\dots \subset G_n \subset G_{n-1} \dots \subset G_1 \subset G_0 = G$$

so that G_i is a closed Lie subgroup of G and G_i/G_{i+1} is abelian for each i . Suppose for some i , $\dim G_i = 0$, i.e., G_i is a closed discrete normal subgroup of G . We claim G_i is abelian. For, fix $g_i \in G_i$ and consider the continuous map $G \rightarrow G_i$ given by $g \mapsto gg_i g^{-1}$. As G is connected and G_i is discrete image of this map is the singleton $\{g_i\}$. That is g_i commutes with all $g \in G$ and hence G_i is abelian.

As $G^i \subset G_i$, G^i is also abelian. Thus G is solvable and hence adorable.

Next assume no G_i is discrete. Then as G is finite dimensional and G_i 's are Lie subgroup of G there is an i_0 so that $G_j = G_{j+1}$ for all $j \geq i_0$ and $\dim G_{i_0} \geq 1$. We need the following Lemma to complete the proof of the Theorem.

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be a (real or complex) Lie group such that $\overline{G^1} = G$. Then $G^2 = G^1$, that is G^1 is a perfect group.*

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem XII.3.1 and Theorem XVI.2.1 of [Ho]. $\square$

We have $G^{i_0} \subset G_{i_0}$ and hence

$$G_{i_0} = G_{i_0+1} = \overline{G^{i_0+1}} \subset \overline{G_{i_0}^1} \subset \overline{G_{i_0}} = G_{i_0}$$

This implies $\overline{G_{i_0}^1} = G_{i_0}$. Now from the above Lemma we get G_{i_0} is adorable. Thus G_{i_0} is a normal adorable subgroup of G_{i_0-1} with quotient G_{i_0-1}/G_{i_0} abelian and hence by Proposition 1.11 G_{i_0-1} is also adorable. By induction it follows that G is adorable. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BH] M.R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [Br] Kenneth S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1982.
- [Ch] Leonard S. Charlap, *Bieberbach groups and flat manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg London Paris Tokyo, 1986.
- [C] Tim Cochran, *Noncommutative knot theory*, math.GT/0206258.
- [Cr] R.H. Crowell, *The group G'/G'' of a knot group G* , Duke Math. Journal **30** (1963), 349–354.
- [GL] E.A. Gorin and V.Ja. Lin, *Algebraic equations with continuous coefficients, and certain questions of the algebraic theory of braids*, Mat. Sb. **78** (1969), 579–610.
- [He] John Hempel, *3-manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, Princeton New Jersey, 1976.
- [HS] H. Hiller and C. Sah, *Holonomy of flat manifolds with $b_1 = 0$* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford **37** (1986), 177–187.
- [Ho] G. Hochschild, *The structure of Lie groups*, Holden-Day, Inc., 728 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California, 1965.
- [L] B. Leeb, *3-manifolds with(out) metrics of nonpositive curvature*, Invent. Math. **122** (1995), 277–289.
- [LS] Roger C. Lyndon and Paul E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1977.
- [R] Sayed K. Roushon, *L -theory of 3-manifolds with non-vanishing first Betti number*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **2000**, no. **3**, 107–113.
- [R1] ———, *Vanishing structure set of Haken 3-manifolds*, Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 609–620.
- [R2] ———, *Topology of 3-manifolds and a class of groups*, math.GT/0209121.
- [St] Ralph Strebel, *Homological methods applied to the derived series of groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **49** (1974), 302–332.
- [Y] S.T. Yau, *On the fundamental group of compact manifolds of non-positive curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), 579–585.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400 005, INDIA.

E-mail address: roushon@math.tifr.res.in , <http://www.math.tifr.res.in/~roushton/paper.html>